

## HW §4.2 Numbers 2,5,8,12

2.

a.

The domain is the set of all persons who are male and who have a sibling. The range is the set of all persons who have a male sibling.

b.

The domain is  $\mathbb{R}$ . The range is  $[-1, 1]$ .

5.

a.  $S^{-1} \circ R = \{(1, 4), (3, 5), (3, 4)\}$

b.  $R^{-1} \circ S = \{(4, 1), (5, 3), (4, 3)\}$

8.

a.

**theorem 1.** *Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Then  $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $a \in \text{Dom}(S \circ R)$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in S$ . Thus, since  $(a, b) \in R$ ,  $a \in \text{Dom}(R)$ .  $\square$

b.

**theorem 2.** *Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Suppose  $\text{Ran}(R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(S)$ . Then,  $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) = \text{Dom}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $\text{Ran}(R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(S)$ . Let  $a$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $a \in \text{Dom}(S \circ R)$ . Then, we have already seen in part a. that  $a \in \text{Dom}(R)$ . Thus,  $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(R)$ .

Let  $a \in A$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $a \in \text{Dom}(R)$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$ . This  $b \in \text{Ran}(R)$ . Thus,  $b \in \text{Dom}(S)$ , since  $\text{Ran}(R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(S)$ . Since  $b \in \text{Dom}(S)$ , we can find  $c \in C$  so that  $(b, c) \in S$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$ . Thus,  $a \in \text{Dom}(S \circ R)$ . Since  $a$  was arbitrary,  $\text{Dom}(R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(S \circ R)$ . Since  $\text{Dom}(R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(S \circ R)$  and  $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \subseteq \text{Dom}(R)$  we have  $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) = \text{Dom}(R)$ .  $\square$

c.

**theorem 3.** *Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Then  $\text{Ran}(S \circ R) \subseteq \text{Ran}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Let  $c \in C$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $c \in \text{Ran}(S \circ R)$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  and  $a \in A$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in S$ . Thus, since  $(b, c) \in S$ ,  $c \in \text{Ran}(S)$ .  $\square$

**theorem 4.** *Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Suppose  $\text{Dom}(S) \subseteq \text{Ran}(R)$ . Then,  $\text{Ran}(S \circ R) = \text{Ran}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ . Suppose  $\text{Dom}(S) \subseteq \text{Ran}(R)$ . Let  $c$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $c \in \text{Ran}(S \circ R)$ . Then, we have already seen above that  $c \in \text{Ran}(S)$ . Thus,  $\text{Ran}(S \circ R) \subseteq \text{Ran}(S)$ .

Let  $c \in C$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $c \in \text{Ran}(S)$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  so that  $(b, c) \in S$ . Thus,  $b \in \text{Dom}(S)$ . Thus,  $b \in \text{Ran}(R)$ , since  $\text{Dom}(S) \subseteq \text{Ran}(R)$ . Since  $b \in \text{Ran}(R)$ , we can find  $a \in A$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$ . Thus,  $c \in \text{Ran}(S \circ R)$ . Since  $c$  was arbitrary,  $\text{Ran}(S) \subseteq \text{Ran}(S \circ R)$ . Since  $\text{Ran}(S) \subseteq \text{Ran}(S \circ R)$  and  $\text{Ran}(S \circ R) \subseteq \text{Ran}(S)$  we have  $\text{Ran}(S \circ R) = \text{Ran}(S)$ .  $\square$

12.

a. True.

**theorem 5.** Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are relations from  $B$  to  $C$ . Suppose  $S \subseteq T$ . Then,  $S \circ R \subseteq T \circ R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are relations from  $B$  to  $C$ . Suppose  $S \subseteq T$ . Let  $a$  and  $c$  be arbitrary and suppose  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and so that  $(b, c) \in S$ . Since  $S \subseteq T$ ,  $(b, c) \in T$ . Since  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in T$ ,  $(a, c) \in T \circ R$ . Since  $a$  and  $c$  were arbitrary,  $S \circ R \subseteq T \circ R$ .  $\square$

b. True.

**theorem 6.** Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are relations from  $B$  to  $C$ . Then,  $S \cap T \subseteq S \circ R \cap T \circ R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are relations from  $B$  to  $C$ . Let  $a$  and  $c$  be arbitrary and suppose  $(a, c) \in S \cap T \circ R$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and so that  $(b, c) \in S \cap T$ . Thus,  $(b, c) \in S$  and  $(b, c) \in T$ . Since  $(a, b) \in R$ ,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$  and  $(a, c) \in T \circ R$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R \cap T \circ R$ . Since  $a$  and  $c$  were arbitrary,  $S \cap T \subseteq S \circ R \cap T \circ R$ .  $\square$

c. False. Let  $A = \{a\}$ ,  $B = \{b, b'\}$  and  $C = \{c\}$ . Let  $R = \{(a, b), (a, b')\}$ ,  $S = \{(b, c)\}$ , and  $T = \{(b', c)\}$ . Then,  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ , so  $S \cap T \circ R = \emptyset$  while  $S \circ R \cap T \circ R = \{(a, c)\}$ .

d. True.

**theorem 7.** Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are relations from  $B$  to  $C$ . Then,  $S \cup T \circ R = S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are relations from  $B$  to  $C$ . Let  $a$  and  $c$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $(a, c) \in S \cup T \circ R$ . Then we can find  $b \in S \cup T$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in S \cup T$ .

Case 1: Suppose  $(b, c) \in S$ . Then,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ . Since  $a$  and  $c$  were arbitrary,  $S \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ .

Case 2: Suppose  $(b, c) \in T$ . Then,  $(a, c) \in T \circ R$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ . Since  $a$  and  $c$  were arbitrary,  $S \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ .

Let  $a$  and  $c$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $(a, c) \in S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ . Then either  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$  or  $(a, c) \in T \circ R$ .

Case 1: Suppose  $(a, c) \in S \circ R$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in S$ . Since  $(b, c) \in S$ ,  $(b, c) \in S \cup T$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \cup T \circ R$ . Since  $a$  and  $c$  were arbitrary,  $S \circ R \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \cup T \circ R$ .

Case 2: Suppose  $(a, c) \in T \circ R$ . Then, we can find  $b \in B$  so that  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in T$ . Since  $(b, c) \in T$ ,  $(b, c) \in S \cup T$ . Thus,  $(a, c) \in S \cup T \circ R$ . Since  $a$  and  $c$  were arbitrary,  $S \circ R \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \cup T \circ R$ .

Thus, we have that  $S \circ R \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \cup T \circ R$  since it is so in either of the two exhaustive cases.

Thus, since both  $S \circ R \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \cup T \circ R$  and  $S \cup T \circ R \subseteq S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ ,  $S \cup T \circ R = S \circ R \cup T \circ R$ .  $\square$